

High-mass dimuon, $B\bar{B}$ and $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ production in ultrarelativistic nucleus-nucleus interactions ^{*}.

I.P.Lokhtin

M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V.Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics

Abstract

The potential of B -physics in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions is discussed. The different mechanisms of heavy quark production at high energies are considered. We analyze the sensitivity of high-mass $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs from $B\bar{B}$ semileptonic decays and secondary J/ψ 's from single B decays to the medium-induced bottom quark energy loss at LHC energies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The production of heavy b - and c -quarks in hadronic interactions at very high energies allows one to study the dynamics of hard processes describing the standard as well as new QCD-physics [1,2]. This production can be described completely in the frame of perturbative QCD under condition the mass of quark M_Q is much larger than characteristic QCD confinement scale, $M_Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$. The specific interest for heavy quark production in ultrarelativistic nuclear interactions is due to the intriguing possibility to study behaviour of massive colour charge in super-dense QCD-matter – quark-gluon plasma (QGP), the search and investigation of properties of which being one of the goals of modern high energy physics (see, for example, reviews [3–6]). Experimental data obtained at CERN-SPS and RHIC-BNL can be interpreted as a result of QGP formation in heavy ion collisions, although alternative explanations can not be fully dismissed [7]. It is expected that the most central heavy ion collisions at LHC collider might produce practically "ideal" quark-gluon plasma at extremely high energy density up to $\varepsilon_0 \sim 0.5$ TeV/fm³ $\gg \varepsilon_{crit} \sim 1$ GeV/fm³ [8]. The inclusive cross section for b -quark production at LHC ($\sqrt{s} = 5.5$ A TeV for Pb–Pb) will be large enough for systematical studies of different aspects of B -physics, while at RHIC ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ A GeV for Au–Au) the copious production only of c -quarks can be expected. The heavy quark pairs, created at the very beginning of the collision process, propagate through the dense matter and interact strongly with constituents of the medium. In-medium gluon radiation and collisional energy loss (see review [9] and references therein) of heavy quarks can result in experimentally observed modification of high mass dilepton spectra [10–13]. It was also predicted recently that the finite quark mass effects can lead to a relative suppression of medium-induced radiation of heavy quarks with corresponding enhancement of heavy-to-light $D(B)/\pi$ ratio [14]. Since the parton rescattering intensity strongly increases with temperature, formation of a "hot" QGP at initial temperatures up to $T_0 \sim 1$ GeV at LHC [8] should result in much larger parton energy loss compared to "cold" nuclear matter or a hadronic gas.

II. MECHANISMS OF HEAVY QUARK PRODUCTION AND DIMUON SPECTRA

The diagrams for different mechanisms of heavy quark production in high energy hadronic interactions are presented in figure 1. Following the classification and terminology of paper [2], we can distinguish three classes of such processes with different number of heavy quarks in hard sub-processes vertices (2, 1 or 0): "pair creation" (leading order diagrams are shown on the top of fig.1); "flavour excitation" (one heavy quark is produced in vertex of hard process and another quark is created from initial state parton shower); "gluon splitting" (both heavy quarks are produced from final state parton shower). At present accelerator energies (including RHIC) the bulk of heavy quarks are produced due to direct hard scattering ("pair creation"). However, the prediction for LHC is that the contribution of gluon splittings in initial- or final-state shower evolution to heavy flavour yield can be a significant, about 90% for the whole kinematical range [2].

^{*}Talk given at International Workshop on Physics of the Quark-Gluon Plasma, Palaiseau, France, September 4-7, 2001

Since heavy quark pairs are produced at the very beginning of the nuclear collisions, its propagate through the dense medium. They finally form B and D mesons by “capturing” u , d or s quarks during the hadronization stage. These mesons will decay with the average meson lifetimes $c\tau_{B^\pm} = 496 \mu\text{m}$, $c\tau_{B^0} = 464 \mu\text{m}$, $c\tau_{D^\pm} = 315 \mu\text{m}$ and $c\tau_{D^0} = 124 \mu\text{m}$. We note that $\approx 20\%$ of B mesons and $\approx 12\%$ of D mesons decay to muons. About half of the muons from B decays are produced through an intermediate D and contribute to the softer part of the p_T -spectrum.




FIG. 1. Examples of diagrams for different heavy quark production mechanisms from top to bottom correspond to the “pair creation”, “flavour excitation” and “gluon splitting”.




FIG. 2. Initial invariant mass distribution of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs from $B\bar{B}$ decays for different production mechanisms: “pair creation” (solid), “flavour excitation” (dashed) and “gluon splitting” (dotted).

In order to estimate dimuon spectra at LHC we used the initial momenta spectra, heavy quark cross sections $\sigma_{NN}^{Q\bar{Q}}$ in NN collisions and meson fragmentation scheme from PYTHIA5.7 [15] with the default CTEQ2L parton distribution function (PDF) and including initial and final state radiation in vacuum which effectively simulates higher-order contributions to heavy quark production. The initial distribution of $Q\bar{Q}$ pairs over impact parameter b of A–A collisions (without any nuclear collective effects) is obtained by multiplying $\sigma_{NN}^{Q\bar{Q}}$ by the number of binary nucleon-nucleon sub-collisions:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{Q\bar{Q}}^0}{d^2b}(b, \sqrt{s}) = T_{AA}(b)\sigma_{NN}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\sqrt{s}) \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{A^2} T_{AA}(b)\sigma_{NN}^{\text{in}}(\sqrt{s}) \right)^{A^2} \right] \quad (1)$$

with the total inelastic non-diffractive nucleon-nucleon cross section is $\sigma_{NN}^{\text{in}} \simeq 60 \text{ mb}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 5.5 \text{ TeV}$. The standard Wood-Saxon nuclear overlap function is $T_{AA}(b) = \int d^2s T_A(s)T_A(|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{s}|)$ where $T_A(s) = A \int dz \rho_A(s, z)$ is the nuclear thickness function with nucleon density distributions $\rho_A(s, z)$.

Figure 2 shows the initial $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant high-mass spectra from dominate source – semileptonic $B\bar{B}$ decays – in the CMS experiment [16] kinematical acceptance, $p_T^\mu > 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$. The total impact parameter integrated rates are normalized to the expected number of Pb–Pb events during a two week LHC run, $R = 1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}$, assuming luminosity $L = 10^{27} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ [16] to that

$$N(\mu^+\mu^-) = R\sigma_{AA}^{\mu^+\mu^-} L.$$

. We can see the approximately equal contribution from “pair creation” and “flavour excitation” at high masses, while the relative contribution from “gluon splitting” being decreased with M increasing. The integrated from $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \geq 20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ cross sections are $26.5 \mu\text{b}$ (3.2×10^4 events per two week), $20.5 \mu\text{b}$ (2.5×10^4 events) and $9.5 \mu\text{b}$ (1.15×10^4 events) for “pair creation”, “flavour excitation” and “gluon splitting” respectively. In the remainder of the discussion we will consider as signal only dimuons from $b\bar{b}$ decays, because the contribution of $c\bar{c}$ fragmentation into kinematical region of interest is only about 1/5 part of total rate. Moreover, the medium-induced charm quark energy loss can be significantly larger than the b -quark loss due to the mass difference [14], resulting in an additional suppression of the $D\bar{D} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ yield.

Note that main correlated background here – Drell-Yan prompt dimuons – is unaffected by medium-induced final state interactions. These dimuons are directly from the primary nuclear interaction vertex while the dimuons from B and D meson decays appear at secondary vertices on some distance from the primary vertex. The path length between the primary vertex and secondary vertices are determined by the lifetime and γ -factor of the mesons. This fact allows one cut to suppress the Drell-Yan rate by up to two orders of magnitude using the dimuon reconstruction algorithm based on the tracker information on secondary vertex position [13].

The main uncorrelated background – random hadronic decays and muon pairs of mixed origin – appears also in the like-sign dimuon mass spectra and can be subtracted from the total $\mu^+\mu^-$ distribution using the $\mu^+\mu^+$ and $\mu^-\mu^-$ event samples.

Another process of particular interest is secondary J/ψ production [13]. The branching ratio $B \rightarrow J/\psi X$ is 1.15%. The J/ψ 's subsequently decay to dimuons with a 5.9% branching ratio. The main correlated background here – primary J/ψ production – as in the case of Drell-Yan dimuons, can be rejected using vertex detector. The estimated in the same kinematical region cross section for secondary $J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ production from "pair creation" is only $\approx 17\%$ ($\approx 10.5 \mu\text{b}$, i.e. 1.3×10^4 events per two weeks) of total number of secondary J/ψ 's, while the contribution from "flavour excitation" and "gluon splitting" being $\approx 25.5 \mu\text{b}$ each. Since we consider here the region of much lower dimuon masses, $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} = M_{J/\psi} = 3.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, the contribution of "showering" $b\bar{b}$ pairs is significantly larger as compared with the dimuons from semileptonic $B\bar{B}$ decays. On the other hand, the spectra shape of secondary J/ψ 's is very similar for different $b\bar{b}$ production mechanisms, because it carries information about spectra of single b -quarks, which are practically the same for the different sources [2]. The significant difference appears only for the quark-antiquark correlations changing variables sensitive to the $Q\bar{Q}$ kinematics (diquark invariant mass, azimuthal correlations).

Note that there are theoretical uncertainties in the bottom and charm production cross sections in N–N collisions at LHC: the absolute dimuon rates depend on the choice of PDF, the heavy quark mass, the B -meson fragmentation scheme, next-to-leading order corrections, etc. It is therefore desirable that dimuon measurements in pp or dd collisions are made at the same or similar energy per nucleon as in the heavy ion runs.

III. MEDIUM-INDUCED ENERGY LOSS OF BOTTOM QUARKS AND DIMUON SPECTRA

The details of our model for the heavy quark production and passage through a gluon-dominated plasma, created in initial nuclear overlap zone in minimum bias Pb–Pb collisions at LHC, can be found in our work [13]. We treat the medium as a longitudinally expanding fluid with parton production on a hyper-surface of equal proper times τ [17] and perform a Monte-Carlo simulation of the mean free path λ of heavy quark in scattering-by-scattering scheme. Then the basic kinetic integral equation for total energy loss ΔE as a function of initial energy E and path length L has the form

$$\Delta E(L, E) = \int_0^L dx \frac{dP(x)}{dx} \lambda(x) \frac{dE(x, E)}{dx}, \quad \frac{dP(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)} \exp(-x/\lambda(x)), \quad (2)$$

where $x(\tau)$ is the current transverse coordinate of a quark.

Note that although some attempts have been made to calculate medium-induced heavy quark energy loss for quarks of mass M_q (see [18,19,14] for discussion), a full description of the coherent gluon radiation from a massive colour charge still lacking. There are two extreme limits for energy loss by gluon radiation. In the low p_T limit, $p_T \lesssim M_q$, medium-induced radiation should be suppressed by the mass, while the ultrarelativistic limit, $p_T \rightarrow \infty$, corresponds to the radiation spectrum of massless quarks. In our case, the main contribution to high-mass dimuon and secondary charmonium production is due to b -quarks with "intermediate" values of $p_T \gtrsim 5 \text{ GeV}/c$, expected to be rather close to the incoherent regime. In order to estimate the sensitivity of the dimuon spectra to medium-induced effects, we consider two extreme cases: (i) the "minimum" effect with collisional energy loss only and (ii) the "maximum" effect with collisional and radiative energy loss in the incoherent limit of independent emissions without taking into account the LPM coherent suppression of radiation (i.e. $dE/dx \propto E$ and is independent of path length, L) [13]. In the latter scenario we use the Bethe-Heitler cross section obtained in relativistic kinematics and derive the medium-induced radiative energy loss per unit length of quark of mass M_q [19] as the integral over the gluon radiation spectrum

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = E\rho \int_0^{1-M_q/E} dy \frac{4\alpha_s C_3(y)(4-4y+2y^2)}{9\pi y [M_q^2 y^2 + m_g^2(1-y)]}, \quad (3)$$

$$C_3(y) = \frac{9\pi\alpha_s^2 C_{ab}}{4} [1 + (1-y)^2 - y^2] \ln \frac{2(\alpha_s^2 \rho E y(1-y))^{1/4}}{\mu_D},$$

where $m_g \sim 3T$ is the effective mass of the emitted gluon at temperature T ; y is the fraction of the initial quark energy carried by the emitted gluon; $\rho \propto T^3$ is the density of the medium; $C_{ab} = 9/4, 1$ and $4/9$ for gg, gq and qq scatterings respectively; α_s is the strong coupling constant for N_f active quark flavours; the Debye screening mass μ_D regularizes the integrated parton rescattering cross section.

The dominant contribution to the differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ for scattering of a quark with energy E and momentum $p = \sqrt{E^2 - M_q^2}$ off the “thermal” partons with energy (or effective mass) $m_0(\tau) \sim 3T(\tau) \ll E$ at temperature T can be written in the target frame as [18]

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ab}}{dt} \cong C_{ab} \frac{2\pi\alpha_s^2(t)}{t^2} \frac{E^2}{p^2}, \quad \alpha_s(t) = \frac{12\pi}{(33 - 2N_f) \ln(t/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)}, \quad (4)$$

and QCD scale parameter Λ_{QCD} on the order of the critical temperature, $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \simeq T_c$. The integrated parton scattering cross section has the form:

$$\sigma_{ab}(\tau) = \int_{\mu_D^2}^{t_{\max}} dt \frac{d\sigma_{ab}}{dt} \quad (5)$$

where $t_{\max} = [s - (M_q + m_0)^2][s - (M_q - m_0)^2]/s$ and $s = 2m_0E + m_0^2 + M_q^2$.

In the i -th rescattering off a comoving medium constituent (i.e. with the same longitudinal rapidity y) with squared momentum transfer t_i and effective mass m_{0i} , the quark loses total transverse energy Δe_{Ti} and change rapidity on Δy :

$$\Delta e_T = E_T - \sqrt{\left(p_T - \frac{E_T}{p_T} \frac{t_i}{2m_{0i}} - \frac{t_i}{2p_T}\right)^2 + \Delta k_t^2 \sin^2 \phi + M_q^2}, \quad (6)$$

$$\sinh(\Delta y) = \frac{k_t \cos \phi}{E_T - \Delta e_T}, \quad (7)$$

where the transverse momentum kick per scattering is

$$\Delta k_t = \sqrt{\left(E_T - \frac{t_i}{2m_{0i}}\right)^2 - \left(p_T - \frac{E_T}{p_T} \frac{t_i}{2m_{0i}} - \frac{t_i}{2p_T}\right)^2 - M_q^2}, \quad (8)$$

and the angle ϕ between the direction of vector $\mathbf{k}_{ti}$ and axis z being distributed uniformly. The medium-induced radiative energy loss is calculated with Eq. (3) without modification of the longitudinal rapidity.

In our calculations, we use the Bjorken scaling solution [17] for the space-time evolution of the energy density, temperature and density of the plasma:

$$\varepsilon(\tau)\tau^{4/3} = \varepsilon_0\tau_0^{4/3}, \quad T(\tau)\tau^{1/3} = T_0\tau_0^{1/3}, \quad \rho(\tau)\tau = \rho_0\tau_0. \quad (9)$$

To be specific, we use the initial conditions for a gluon-dominated plasma expected for central Pb+Pb collisions at LHC [8]: $\tau_0 \simeq 0.1$ fm/ c , $T_0 \simeq 1$ GeV, $N_f \approx 0$, $\rho_g \approx 1.95T^3$. It is interesting that the initial energy density, ε_0 , in the dense zone depends on b very slightly, $\delta\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon_0 \lesssim 10\%$, up to $b \sim R_A$ and decreases rapidly for $b \gtrsim R_A$ [20]. On the other hand, the proper time of a jet to escape the dense zone averaged over all possible jet production vertices, $\langle\tau_L\rangle$, is found to decrease almost linearly with increasing impact parameter. This means that for impact parameters $b < R_A$, where $\approx 60\%$ of the heavy quark pairs are produced, the difference in rescattering intensity and the corresponding energy loss is determined mainly by the different path lengths rather than the initial energy density.

Finally, the nuclear shadowing corrections according with EKS model [21] have been also taken into account in our calculations.

The simulation of quark rescattering is halted if one of the following three conditions is fulfilled:

- 1) A quark escapes from the dense zone, i.e. its path length becomes greater than the effective transverse

spread of the matter from the production vertex to the escape point. The details of the geometrical calculations of these quantities at a given impact parameter can be found in Ref. [20].

2) The plasma cools down to $T_c = 200$ MeV. We thus neglect possible additional small contributions to the total energy loss due to re-interactions in the hadron gas.

3) A quark loses so much energy that its transverse momentum p_T drops below the average transverse momentum of the “thermal” constituents of the medium. In this case, such a quark is considered to be “thermalized” and its momentum in the rest frame of the fluid is generated from the random “thermal” distribution, $dN/d^3p \propto \exp(-E/T)$, boosted to the center-of-mass of the nucleus-nucleus collision [11,12].

Figure 3 shows the $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant mass spectra from $B\bar{B}$ decays for various nuclear effect scenarios: (1) no loss and shadowing; (2) no loss, with shadowing; (3) with collisional loss and shadowing; (4) with collisional and radiative loss and shadowing. The absolute normalization is the same as in previous section. The results for all quark production mechanisms are qualitatively similar: the shadowing corrections are relatively small (at the level of $\approx 15\%$); the collisional loss reduces dimuon rate by factor $\sim 1.3 - 1.6$; the additional radiative loss reduce the rate up to factor $\sim 3-4$. The relative contribution of radiative loss grows with increasing M and p_T due to the stronger energy dependence of the loss. The dimuon spectra from direct quarks are some more sensitive to the energy loss of b -quarks as compared with dimuons from “showering” quarks due to different kinematics (e.g. strong azimuthal back-to-back correlation).




FIG. 3. Invariant mass distribution of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs from $B\bar{B}$ decays for various scenarios: without loss and shadowing (dash-dotted), without loss and with shadowing (solid), with collisional loss and shadowing (dashed), with radiative and collisional loss and shadowing (dotted). From top to bottom: “pair creation”, “flavour excitation” and “gluon splitting”.




FIG. 4. Summarized over all $b\bar{b}$ production mechanisms (a) transverse momentum and (b) pseudo-rapidity distributions of $B \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ decays for various scenarios: without loss and shadowing (solid), with collisional loss and shadowing (dashed), with radiative and collisional loss and shadowing (dotted).

The summarized over all $b\bar{b}$ production mechanisms transverse momentum and pseudo-rapidity distributions of $B \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ decays is presented in figure 4. Including nuclear shadowing reduces secondary charmonium yield by $\sim 25\%$, while the final state rescattering and energy loss by b -quarks can further reduce the J/ψ rates by a factor of $\sim 1.3-2$ in the CMS kinematical acceptance.

We see that the influence of nuclear effects on secondary J/ψ 's and high-mass dimuons are quite different: the decrease of the $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ rate by nuclear shadowing is comparable to the effect of medium-induced final state interactions. The increased sensitivity to nuclear shadowing is due to the different x and Q^2 regions probed. The different influence of energy loss on secondary charmonia and dimuons from $B\bar{B}$ decays is because J/ψ 's come from the decay of a single b quark instead of a $b\bar{b}$ pair and there is a non-negligible probability that the energy lost by one quark is small. Thus since secondary charmonia reflect energy loss of only one b -quark, the corresponding suppression is less than for semileptonic $B\bar{B}$ decays and is independent on quark production mechanism. Thus we believe that a comparison between high-mass dimuon and secondary J/ψ production could clarify the nature of energy loss.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have considered the different mechanisms of heavy quark production in ultrarelativistic nuclear collisions and found that the "showering" $b\bar{b}$ pairs at LHC give the same order of contribution to high-mass dimuon spectra ($M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \geq 20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) as direct quark pairs. The $b\bar{b}$ pair production from parton showers is dominant mechanism for $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ production. The medium-induced parton rescattering and collisional energy loss can reduce high-mass dimuon rate by factor ~ 1.5 , and the additional radiative energy loss can reduce the rate up to factor $\sim 3-4$ (depending on quark production mechanism). The relative contribution of radiative loss grows with increasing M and p_T due to the stronger energy dependence of the loss. Due to different kinematics the high-mass dimuon spectra from direct quarks are more sensitive to the energy loss of b -quarks than dimuons from "showering" quarks. The nuclear shadowing corrections is $\approx 15\%$ for high-mass dimuons. Since secondary charmonium production reflects the energy loss of only one b -quark, the corresponding suppression by a factor of $1.3 - 2$ is lesser than for $B\bar{B}$ decays and is independent on quark production mechanism, but shadowing corrections are some larger.

We conclude that the dimuon spectra will be sensitive to final state rescattering and energy loss of bottom quarks in dense matter. However, there are still theoretical uncertainties in the initial production of heavy flavours in nucleon-nucleon collisions at LHC energies. Thus measurements in pp or dd collisions at the same or similar energies per nucleon as in the heavy ion runs are required.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank D. Denegri and R. Vogt for important comments. Discussions with M. Bedjidian, Yu.L. Dokshitzer, D.E. Kharzeev, R. Kvatadze, O.L. Kodolova, L.I. Sarycheva and U. Wiedemann are gratefully acknowledged. I would like to thank the organizers of Workshop "Physics of the Quark-Gluon Plasma" for the warm welcome, the hospitality and creating a stimulating atmosphere.

-
- [1] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman and T. Sjöstrand, Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31.
 - [2] E. Norrbin and T. Sjöstrand, Eur. Phys. J. C 17 (2000) 137.
 - [3] H. Satz, Phys. Rep. 88 (1982) 349.
 - [4] J.W. Harris and B. Müller, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46, (1996) 71.
 - [5] I.P. Lokhtin, L.I. Sarycheva and A.M. Snigirev, Phys. Part. Nucl. 30 (1999) 279.
 - [6] S.A. Bass, M. Gyulassy, H. Stöcker and W. Greiner, J. Phys. G25 (1999) R1.
 - [7] Proc. of 15th International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions "Quark Matter'2001", New York, USA, 15-20 January, 2001, in press
 - [8] K.J. Eskola, K. Kajantie and P.V. Ruuskanen, Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 191; Eur. Phys. J. C 1 (1998) 627; K.J. Eskola, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 129 (1997) 1; Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 22 (1998) 185; K.J. Eskola and K. Tuominen, Phys. Lett. B 489 (2000) 329; K.J. Eskola, K. Kajantie, P.V. Ruuskanen and K. Tuominen, Nucl. Phys. B 570 (2000) 379.
 - [9] R. Baier, D. Schiff and B.G. Zakharov, Annual Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50 (2000) 37.
 - [10] E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. 55 (1997) 961.
 - [11] B. Kampfer, O.P. Pavlenko and K. Gallmeister, Phys. Lett. B 419 (1998) 412.
 - [12] Z. Lin, R. Vogt and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 57 (1998) 899; Z. Lin and R. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B 544 (1999) 339.
 - [13] I.P. Lokhtin and A.M. Snigirev, Eur. Phys. J. C 21 (2001) 155.
 - [14] Yu.L. Dokshitzer and D.E. Kharzeev, e-print hep-ph/0106202; D.E. Kharzeev, contribution in Workshop "Physics of the Quark-Gluon Plasma"
 - [15] T. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. 82 (1994) 74.
 - [16] CMS Collaboration Technical Proposal, CERN/LHCC 94-38, 1994.
 - [17] J.D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D27 (1983) 140.
 - [18] M.G. Mustafa, D. Pal, D.K. Srivastava and M. Thoma, Phys. Lett. B428 (1998) 234.
 - [19] B.G. Zakharov, JETP Lett. 65 (1997) 615; Phys. At. Nucl. 61 (1998) 838.
 - [20] I.P. Lokhtin and A.M. Snigirev, Eur. Phys. J. C 16 (2000) 527.
 - [21] K.J. Eskola, V.J. Kolhinen and C.A. Salgado, Eur. Phys. J. C 9 (1999) 61.